

**CWP No. 29345 of 2017**

**DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 21, 2017**

**GURDEEP SINGH**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**PSPCL AND ORS.**

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. Tejwinder Singh Hundal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)**

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of promotional increments, in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (P-1), especially in view of the judgments passed by this Court in CWP No. 10808 of 2007 (P-4), CWP No. 7538 of 2014 (P-5) and CWP No. 19234 of 2015 as well as in view of officer orders dated 11.05.2015 and 12.06.2015 (P-6 to P-8) issued by respondent-corporation, vide which, similar/identical benefits have already been granted to the similarly situated employees. AND further to grant interest on the delayed payments @ 12% per annum when it became due till its realization.

2. A scrutiny of the aforesaid writ petition transpires that the petitioner stood retired on attaining the age of superannuation on February 28, 2015.

3. The contention of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is that the respondents were requested time and again to release the

benefits, which have been claimed through instant petition. Even, petitioner constrained to serve legal notice (P-3) but till date no action has been taken. No response to the legal notice (P-3) has also been received so far by the petitioner and the respondents-authorities are seized of the matter. The petitioner feels satisfied, in case, direction is issued to respondents to deal with the legal notice (P-3) and to take conscious decision.

4. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice (P-3), and to take a conscious decision in accordance with service rules as well as circular dated 23.04.1990 and in the light of various judgments passed in CWP No.10808 of 2007, CWP No. 7538 of 2014 and CWP No. 19234 of 2015 and office orders dated 11.05.2015 and 12.06.2015 (P-6 to P-8), within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. The claim with regard to interest on delayed payment shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “*A.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab*”, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.

6. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 21, 2017  
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                                  |                |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i>  |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No.</i> |