

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29301-2017

Date of decision : 20.12.2017

Mohinder Pal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Namit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners points to the communication from the Inspector, State Vigilance Bureau, Sub Centre, Kurukshetra, to the Superintendent of Police, State Vigilance Bureau, Ambala Division, Ambala, stating therein that the petitioners are actually working the whole day even at odd hours, and therefore their services be engaged full time instead of only part time.

This petition is consequently disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid communication, naturally keeping in view what has been stated by the person incharge of the Vigilance Bureau Sub Centre. A speaking order be passed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

While passing the speaking order, the competent authority would duly notice the ratio of the judgments, Annexures P-9 & P-10

annexed with the petition, and if it is found that they are not applicable to the case of the petitioners, detailed reasons as to how they are not applicable would be given in the said speaking order.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 20, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No