

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 29076 of 2017

Date of Decision: 19.12.2017

RUP SINGH

..... Petitioner

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.

Present: Ms. Amandeep Soni, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 30.09.2014 passed by the Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Collector, Hoshiarpur by which he has appointed respondent No. 5 as Lamberdar, order dated 16.09.2016 by which the Commissioner (Appeals), Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar has dismissed the appeal and order dated 27.07.2017 vide which the Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab has dismissed the revision petition of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the authorities concerned have not properly appreciated the *inter se* merits, therefore, committed an error in appointing respondent No. 5 as Lamberdar.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and examining the record, am of the considered opinion that there is no merit in the present petition because the law is now well settled that the Collector's choice has to be respected until and unless there is a perversity in his order. The perversity would be if the evidence is not considered or the evidence on record is misread. No such circumstance has been pointed out by the learned counsel

for the petitioner. After going through the findings recorded by all the three revenue authorities, I do not find any scope for interference.

In view of the above, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

December 19, 2017

Ess Kay

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No