

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28878 of 2017
Date of Decision: 18.12.2017

Bikramjeet Singh and another

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Sukhjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioners are the son and daughter-in-law of respondent No.3, who have prayed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 15.11.2017 passed by the District Magistrate, Patiala asking them to vacate the house of respondent No.3.

In brief, respondent No.3 is a senior citizen, who had filed an application against the petitioners for seeking their eviction from his residential house under the provisions of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 [for short 'the Act'].

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that respondent No.3 has been having a glad eye on petitioner No.2 for which petitioner No.1 had asked him to desist or to mend his behavior, which triggered of the filing of the application by respondent No.3 for seeking their eviction. It is also submitted that respondent No.3 has filed the said application to harass the petitioners in order to achieve his immoral goal.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing the record, I could not persuade myself to differ with the view taken by the District Magistrate, Patiala because the evidence on record shows that the house in dispute is owned by respondent No.3, who has been alleging misbehavior on the part of the petitioners, thus in such circumstance, the impugned order has to be upheld as no perversity is found in it. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

18.12.2017

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No**Whether reportable**Yes/No*