

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 28869 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 18, 2017

INDER SINGHPETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVNL AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to calculate and release pension, DCRG, commutation, leave encashment and other admissible benefits by taking into account/sanctioning admissible ACP scales.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide latest representation dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintending Engineer, 'OP' Circle, UHBVNL, Sonapat to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 20.11.2017

(Annexure P-6) and take a conscious decision, absolutely in consonance with the ACP Rules dated 30.12.2008 (Annexure P-5) and instructions dated 30.08.2006 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 18, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*