

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9719 OF 2014 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 06, 2017**

PRITPAL KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

At the very outset of the arguments, it has emerged that family pension has already been granted to the petitioner as is evident from letter No.SAI Pen-17/S-5/2001-2/1331-34 dated 04.10.2017 (Annexure R-2), which is a communication by the Senior Accounts Officer to the office of Indian Audit and Accounts Department (A&E), Punjab and UT, Chandigarh.

2. Thus, the benefit, which has been claimed by the petitioner through the instant petition has already been granted to her. As such, the instant petition has rendered infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

DECEMBER 06, 2017

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reportable

Yes/No