

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8367 of 2015

Date of Decision: 09.01.2017

TARSEM LAL

... *Petitioner*

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..*Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 5.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate,
for respondent No. 6.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count the service of the petitioner as work charge employee from date of his appointment i.e. 11.11.1982 prior to regularization of his service for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the respondents No. 2 to 5 stated that the work charge service of the petitioner has been counted for the qualifying service for the purpose of pension. The share of the contributed pension has been also deposited by the petitioner.

CWP No. 8367 of 2015

It being so, the respondents are directed to release the pensionary benefits to the petitioner, if not released earlier, within two months from date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In view of the above, the present writ petition stands allowed.

January 9, 2017
Suresh Kumar

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	✓ Yes / No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	✓ Yes / No