IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

128 **CWP-28664-2017 (O&M)**
Date of decision:15.12.2017

Gurnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Amritsar and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Gurdip Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Chanderdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay K.Guevera, Advocate and
Mr. Manvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, petitioner has challenged the order dated 08.08.2017 (Annexure P-3) on the petitioner's application under Section 33-C(2) of the I.D.Act in respect of extending certain back wages pursuant to the award dated 16.11.2004. 50% back wages is required to be paid to the petitioner during the period from 23.11.1996 to 21.11.2005. Petitioner's grievance is that he is entitled for more pay whereas the respondents have extended the benefit of pay with reference to last pay drawn by the petitioner a sum of Rs. 675/- on the date of his termination. He is relying on notification which was placed before the Labour Court. In support of enhanced pay with reference to back wages perusal of the application as well as pleadings no where the petitioner has demanded particular pay for the purpose of extending 50% back wages pursuant to the

Pooja Saini
2017.12.16 15:25
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

award dated 16.11.2004. In other words, new plea is being taken in the present petition. Hence petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with the order dated 08.08.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by the labour court.

Accordingly,CWP stands dismissed.

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

15.12.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

Pooja Saini
2017.12.16 15:25
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh