

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28568 of 2017
Date of Decision: 15.12.2017

Balwinder Singh and another

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Arun Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioners have challenged the order dated 8.1.2015 by which mode of partition was sanctioned and Naksha 'A' was asked to be filed, order dated 14.12.2015 in which it is recorded that no objection to the proposed Naksha 'A' was filed despite affording an opportunity, the proposed Naksha 'A' was sanctioned and Halqa Girdawar was ordered to prepare Naksha 'B', order dated 2.5.2017 by which revision filed by the petitioners before the Commissioner, Rupnagar Division, Rupnagar was dismissed and the order dated 8.11.2017 by which the revision filed by the petitioners was dismissed by the Financial Commissioner.

The only argument raised by counsel for the petitioners is that the land given to the petitioners is in L shape which should have been in the straight line. However, it is not in dispute that the partition has been done in accordance with the sanctioned mode of partition and on the basis of Naksha 'A', which was prepared in terms of the sanctioned mode of partition. Two

Courts have already gone into this aspect and therefore, in the absence of any violation of the provisions of law much less a legal error on the part of the respondents-authorities, I do not find any reason to interfere in this petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

15.12.2017
Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>