

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.28557 of 2017.

Date of Decision: December 15, 2017

Navneet Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Anantdeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Senior DAG, Punjab.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Let four copies of the writ petition be handed-over to him during the course of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from the respondents at this stage as no order prejudicial to their interest is being passed.

The petitioners are contesting elections to the posts of Councilors of Municipal Council, Dharamkot from different wards, as per the details given in para No.2 of the writ petition. It is claimed that the petitioners belong to the political party in opposition and they apprehend that the ensuing election of Municipal Council, Dharamkot is not likely to

Though we do not find any substance in the allegation made by the petitioners, nevertheless, keeping in mind the fact that the authorities are obligated to ensure that the election are conducted in a fair, impartial and free manner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to videograph the polling and counting of votes and assess the threat perception, if any, to the petitioners and take necessary remedial action in accordance with law.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 15, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No