

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-28535-2017

Date of decision : 15.12.2017

Harish Chand and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Himanshu Rao, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners for stepping up of their pay to the level of their juniors is covered by the judgment of this Court in ***Neelam Rani vs. The State of Haryana and others***, (CWP no.11254 of 2010 decided on 15.05.2013).

In respect of their grievance, they have made a representation, Annexure P-8, which though is not addressed to the respondents but to another functionary of the Government, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents, to take a decision on the aforesaid representation, which may be supplemented by the petitioners within a period of 1 month from today, by passing a speaking order within 4 months thereafter, taking into account the judgment of this Court in ***Neelam Ranis' case (supra)***.

In case a speaking order has already been passed in the case of the petitioners, a short order may now be passed annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

It is however made clear that if the petitioners' case is stated to be not covered by the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, then even if a speaking order has been passed already, not giving detailed reasons as to why it is not so covered, a fresh speaking order shall be passed giving such detailed reasons.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 15, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No