

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 28529 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 15, 2017

SEEMA SHARMA @ SEEMA DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, GURDASPUR
AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-department to release the retiral benefits to her qua deceased-Ashok Kumar, her husband, who died in harness.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 17.03.2015 (Annexure P-5) was moved to the petitioner but till date neither any benefit has been released nor any reply has been received despite the fact that a period of more than two years has elapsed. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to

consider the case as unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated 17.03.2015 (Annexure P-5) and take a conscious decision, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 15, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*