

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28500 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2017

Kimat Rai & Anr.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioners claim that they were nominated by way of resolution passed by the Municipal Committee as Members of the *ad hoc* body constituted under Rule 4 of the Haryana Municipal Delimitation of Wards Rules, 1977 for the purpose of delimitation exercise. However, contrary to that resolution, the Secretary of the Municipal Committee, Pundri, namely, respondent No.5 has nominated some other elected Members in place of the petitioners. It is claimed that such a power vests only in the Deputy Commissioner and not respondent No.5. The petitioners have already made complaint dated 01.12.2017 in this regard to the Director, Urban Local Bodies – respondent No.2, copies whereof have been annexed as Annexure P6.

(2) The writ petition is thus disposed of with a direction to the Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana to look into the above-mentioned grievance of the petitioners and decide their representation(s) within *two weeks* from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

14.12.2017

vishal shonkar

(Sudhir Mittal)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No