Nitin
2017.12.22 12:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 28440 of 2017
Date of Decision: 14.12.2017

Mangal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rishi Lal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

*****

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved of his transfer from Jind to Gurugram, vide the impugned order dated 05.12.2017, contending in this petition that he having been posted to Jind only on 01.06.2017, the impugned order passed six months later is wholly against the policy of the Transport Department, Haryana, Annexure P-3.

Upon query, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner joined in Faridabad on 10.04.2012, from where he was transferred to Jind on 19.11.2015, after which he was transferred to Gurugram on 05.02.2017 and posted back to Jind on 01.06.2017.

That being so, the petitioner having put in more than two years at Jind, as of today, I see no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

However, pursuant to the directions given by this Court in its judgment dated 01.09.2017 passed in CWP No. 17899 of 2017 and other connected cases, as also vide the judgment dated 12.12.2017, passed in CWP No.

Nitin
2017.12.22 12:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

24190 of 2017 and other connected petitions, the respondents would pass a speaking order showing therein the reasons for transfer of the petitioner, also showing as to whether there were other persons with a longer stay who have been transferred out from Jind or not.

The said speaking order be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

December 14, 2017 **(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**
nitin **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable No.