

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28425 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.12.2017

Bholi Rani

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. D.R. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner had applied for appointment to the post of Sub Inspector (Female) under the reserved category of S.C (R&O). In the process of selection in which the petitioner participated, she has secured 50 marks. As per pleadings on record, another candidate namely Navjeet Kaur, who was bracketed in merit and belongs to the same very category, has been preferred on the basis that she is elder in age.

Counsel very fairly concedes that against the backdrop of Standing Order No.6 of 2016 issued by the D.G.P., Punjab and which was specifically referred to in the advertisement in response to which the petitioner had applied, a candidate, who is elder in age is to be preferred in the eventuality of securing same marks.

Counsel prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to approach the respondent authorities so as to stake a claim against certain posts which have remained vacant in relation to the advertised posts under the S.C (R&O) category on account of the selected candidates having chosen not to join.

As per prayer made by counsel, present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

21.12.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No