

CWP No. 28398 of 2017

DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 14, 2017

BADRI DASS BHAGAT AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS.

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to refix their pension as per Government circular dated 17.08.2009 and 22.02.2010 (P-8 to P-10) on account of increase in pay scales/grade pay and revise pensionary benefits notionally especially in view of the decisions of Hon'ble Supreme Court and High Court, especially in view of judgment passed by this Court in **CWP No. 25733 of 2012** titled as "**A.P. Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and others**" decided on 22.10.2013 (P-12) **CWP No. 273 of 2014** titled as "**Jagdish Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others**" decided on 16.02.2017.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners stands fully covered by the judgment of this Court passed in **CWP No. 25733 of 2012**, titled as "**A.P. Sharma and others v. State of Punjab and**

others” decided on 22.10.2013 and number of cases have already been disposed of. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners and to take final decision in the light of *A.P. Sharma's case* (supra).

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 06.06.2017 (Annexure P-13) and take a final decision keeping in view the judgment passed by this Court in *A.P. Sharma's case* (supra) and *Jagdish Singh's case* (supra), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 14, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*