

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.283 of 2017

Date of decision: 12.1.2017

Amarjit Singh & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. GS Samra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners have alternative remedy which is equally efficacious before the controlling authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (for short 'the Act'). This petition is, therefore, dismissed on the ground of alternative remedy with liberty to the petitioners to approach their respective controlling authorities from where they can seek not only any amount of gratuity due but also compound interest thereon under Section 8 of the Act.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

12.1.2017
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No