

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28294 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 13.12.2017

Punjab National Bank

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurminder Singh Gill

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The District Magistrate vide impugned order dated 20.10.2017 directed that inventory of the goods/material lying in the property be prepared, the possession of which has already been taken by the petitioner-bank. It be prepared in the presence of a committee constituted by him. The allegation of the loanee was that inventory had not been prepared, when possession was taken, though it is sought to be disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

As the only direction is for preparing the inventory of the material lying in the property, the possession of which has been taken over, we do not find any reason to interfere in the present petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Gurminder Singh Gill)
Judge

13.12.2017
pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No