

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

Civil Writ Petition No.28245 of 2017
DECIDED ON: December 13, 2017

NARESH CHANDER PURI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant pension and other retiral benefits such as leave encashment, gratuity etc. along with interest @ 18% per annum on the delayed payment AND further issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider and take a final decision on the representation dated 19.09.2017 (P-14) by passing a speaking order within some stipulated period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 19.09.2017 (P-14) was moved to the respondent No.2 but till date, no action has been taken so far.

Civil Writ Petition No.28245 of 2017

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 19.09.2017 (P-14) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

December 13, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No