

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7024 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.01.2017

Rajia Baby

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuing a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 16.11.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2, appointing the Sub Inspector Female under OBC category.

Learned counsel for the petitioner had argued that the petitioner qualified the written examination and secured 49 marks, but she was not called for interview. The Coordinate Bench was pleased to issue notice of motion. The matter was taken up on 10.08.2016, on which date, reply was filed, however, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. In the interest of justice, the matter was listed for today for arguments. Even today, there is no one present to represent the petitioner.

A perusal of the reply filed shows that petitioner Rajia Baby belonging to BC category obtained 49 marks in the written examination conducted for recruitment to the post of Sub Inspector (Female) in Punjab Police (District Cadre). A total number of 110 posts were advertised, out of which 11 candidates were to be selected in the BC category. Three times candidates of the BC category were called for interview and the last candidate who has been appointed has 51 marks in the written test and was called for the interview. A further submission has also been made that seven candidates belonging to the BC category having higher marks in the merit list over the petitioner have not been called and thus there is no infirmity in the action of the respondents.

After having heard learned counsel for the respondents-State and while taking note of the contention that the petitioner did not have the requisite marks to be called for an interview, no interference is called for by this court.

Hence, the petition in hand, being devoid of any merits, stands dismissed.

January 19, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No