

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.12.16 12:47
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

CWP No.28128 of 2017

Date of Decision: 12.12.2017

Rajbir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Pepsu Roadways Transport and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer only for issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal, which is pending since 2012. Learned counsel also submits that in the appeal, the order of termination has been challenged but in spite of filing an appeal in the month of August, 2012, the same has not been decided so far. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to the appellate authority i.e respondent No.1 to decide the pending appeal within some stipulated period.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the pending appeal preferably within a period of four weeks only from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order as the same is pending since 27.08.2012.

It is also directed that in case, the personal appearance of the petitioner is required, he may be given an opportunity of appearing.

The petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

12.12.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No