

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 28122 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 12, 2017

MANOHAR SINGH BISHT

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

BANK OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Rivayat Hayer, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to restore the basic pay to Rs.54,410/- w.e.f 01.01.2014 and 3rd stagnation increment w.e.f. 01.04.2017 with further direction to release the difference of the terminal benefits and also to release the arrears amounting to Rs.4,51,446/- along with 18% interest till the date of realization.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 14.11.2017 (Annexure P-8), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to

look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 14.11.2017 (Annexure P-8) and to decide the same by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feel aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 12, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*