

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

Anuradha
2014.12.13 16:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

112

CWP-28100-2017
Date of Decision: 12.12.2017

DEVENDER SINGH

....PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has sought grant of regular/temporary permits. Learned counsel states that otherwise the facts are covered by the judgment i.e. annexed as Annexure P-9, the only small difference is that in that case buses which purchased under the LOI had already been passed but in the present case buses though purchased have not yet been passed subject to this change.

In the circumstances, which is not consequential present petition is disposed of in the same terms as in CWP-25357-2017 titled as “***Anil Kumar and others vs. The State of Haryana and others***” passed on 7.11.2017

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.M, if any, also stand disposed of.

12.12.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

-

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No