

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6895 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 15.12.2017

Shiv Narayan and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill

Present:- Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Prashar, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Mr. Sanjay Vij, Advocate for respondent No.11.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.2 and 5 in Court, is taken on record. Copy furnished to counsel for the petitioners.

Challenge in the present petition is to the acquisition of land. The notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 were issued on 11.2.2010 and 19.2.2010, respectively. Award was announced by the Land Acquisition Collector on 14.6.2010.

As per the plan produced by learned counsel for the State in Court, the land owned by the petitioners falls within the alignment of 60 meters inter-sector road. It was submitted by learned counsel for the State that road on both the sides of the area in question has already been constructed, which could not be completed because of the pendency of the present petition. The acquisition of land vide same notification was upheld

by this Court vide judgment dated 22.11.2010 in CWP No.9065 of 2010-
Rohtash and others Vs. State of Haryana and others.

The learned counsel for the petitioners did not dispute the
aforesaid factual situation.

For the reasons stated in Rohtash and others' case (supra), the
writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

15.12.2017
pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No