

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.256

Civil Writ Petition No.2805 of 2017
DECIDED ON: August 02, 2017

KAMLESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. C.M. Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to refix the pension of the petitioners as per Government Circulars dated December 15, 2011 (Annexures P-8 & P-9) and in view of judgment passed by this Court in cases *State of Punjab and ors. vs. A.P. Sharma and ors. 2017(1) SCT 322 as well as Karanvir Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2016(4) SCT 715*.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners has urged that instant writ petition stands squarely covered within parameters laid down the judgments

Civil Writ Petition No.2805 of 2017

rendered by this Court in cases *A.P. Sharma and ors. (supra)* which has attained finality after the dismissal of Special Leave Petition preferred by the State of Punjab & Ors. before the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated May 04, 2017 as well as *Karanvir Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors.* in CWP No.2866 of 2014 decided on September 30, 2016. This fact has been fairly conceded by the learned State counsel.

3. Since the matter in controversy is squarely covered within the parameters laid down in the aforesaid judgments, instant writ petition stands allowed in same terms.

August 02, 2017
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No