

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP No.28049 of 2017

Date of decision: 11.12.2017

Ravi Shankar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks direction to see the videography of the driving test held on 05.12.2017, in which he has been declared failed. It has been further prayed that he should be allowed to join the selection process, if he has passed the test, as per the videography.

It is the case of the petitioner that vide advertisement dated 18.05.2017 (Annexure P-1), advertised by the respondent-Commission, Admit Card was issued to him and he had cleared the written examination and was called for driving test on 05.12.2017. It is his case that he has successfully cleared the driving test (Dug Test) but has been wrongly shown as fail and wishes to see the videography of the test. It is his case that representation was filed on the same day, to respondent No.3 (Annexure P-3). Resultantly, the present writ petition has been filed, on the ground that the factual aspect whether the vehicle had touched the drum while it was reversed, was the subject matter of issue.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Harish Rathee, Sr.DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy of the paperbook has been supplied to him.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.28049 of 2017

-2-

Keeping in view the above controversy involved, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be solved by calling upon the respondents to file reply and respondent No.3 could act upon the said representation and the grievances of the petitioner could be duly ventilated.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 05.12.2017 (Annexure P-3), within a period of one week. In case it is found that the petitioner has duly passed the test, the respondents shall take further action, in accordance with law. In case it is found, after examining the videography, that the petitioner has not passed, the respondents shall intimate the petitioner the factum of the same, by written communication and shall also supply him a copy of the said videography.

Photocopy of this order be supplied to counsel for the petitioner, under the signatures of Special Secretary of this Court.

11.12.2017
Sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*