

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28001 of 2017

Date of Decision: December 13, 2017

Davinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Mr. Aditya Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that other things apart, the petitioner has submitted a mercy petition to the Director General of Police, Haryana, as also to the Addl. Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Department of Home, in terms of Rule 16.32 of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (as applicable to the State of Haryana), which is stated to have not been decided so far.

Upon query as to how a mercy petition would lie against an order passed exercising revisional jurisdiction by the Director General of Police-cum-Inspector General of Police, learned counsel points to the relevant part of the aforesaid rules, by which such a provision is seen to have been made.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2, i.e. Director General of Police, Haryana, to take a decision on the mercy petition filed by the petitioner on 14.09.2016, a copy of which has been annexed as a part of Annexure P-15 (collectively) with this

petition, by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 13, 2017
vcgarg

(**Amol Rattan Singh**)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No