

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-27978-2017

Date of decision : 18.12.2017

Gajanand

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the notice issued, Mr.R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, submits that this petition may be disposed of in terms of the order of this Court dated 12.12.2017, passed in CWP no.24190 of 2017 and connected petitions, wherein directions have been issued to the Director General, State Transport, to effect transfers strictly in terms of the policy, keeping in view the directions given by this Court with regard to the different clauses of the policy, (in the aforesaid order and the order earlier passed on 01.09.2017 in another bunch of the writ petitions).

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to take a decision on the case of the petitioner, as to whether he is genuinely covered as a “hardship case” in view of the medical condition of his father, also of course keeping in account the circumstances of whether there is any other person equally able to look after his father if the petitioner is to be otherwise transferred out in terms of the policy.

A decision in that regard be taken upon the petitioner making a representation to the competent authority, i.e. the Director General, State Transport, within a period of 10 days from today, after which the order in the petitioners' case be passed within a period of two months.

In the meanwhile, the operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed.

However, in case the petitioner does not make such a representation within 10 days, the stay shall stand automatically vacated.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 18, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No