

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

**CWP-8784-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision:12.05.2017**

Surender Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 10.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned tentative seniority list of Assistant Lineman vide Annexure P4.

Petitioners have submitted their objections and suggestions against the tentative seniority list of Assistant Lineman. Before finalization of the same, they have rushed to this court challenging tentative seniority list (Annexure P4). Therefore, petition is pre-mature. Accordingly, CWP stands rejected.

Reserving liberty to the petitioners to question the final seniority list of Assistant Lineman if they are aggrieved by the ranking assigned to them.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

12.05.2017
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No