

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.665 of 2016
DECIDED ON: July 18, 2017**

SHYAM

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Rimpal Kadyan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release monthly financial assistance to the petitioner from the date of death of her husband and all arrears along with market rate interest.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.3-General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Sonapat (Haryana) to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of instructions issued vide memo

No.1/82/2012-IT(II) dated 28.11.2016 as well as judgment passed by this Court in case titled as *“Usha Rani and others v. State of Haryana and others”* 2014(4) RSJ 612.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3-General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Sonapat (Haryana) to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of aforesaid instructions as well as judgment and pass an appropriate order within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that the claim of the petitioner is legally and factually justified, to release the same to the petitioner within a period of one month thereafter.

July 18, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*