

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.6632 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: November 16, 2017

Bishwanath Puranmalka ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. R.D. Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.6.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has prayed for a mandamus directing the respondents to register the transfer deed dated 11.12.2015 (Annexure P-2) as per law.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that matter can be looked into by respondent No.4 i.e. Sub Registrar/Tehsildar, Gurgaon.

In view of statement made by State counsel, the petition is disposed of with the direction that respondent No.4 may examine the matter afresh and pass a speaking order at the earliest, in any case not later than six months. Petitioner shall be at liberty to file an application/representation before the said authority within ten days from today.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

November 16, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No