

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.27724 of 2017

Date of Decision: December 06, 2017

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Ajit Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr.Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The Deputy Commissioner, Rewari has rejected the claim of the petitioner for allotment of 100 square yard plot on the basis of a fact-finding enquiry conducted by the Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rewari. In the said inquiry report it was found that the petitioner has neither applied for allotment of the plot nor he fulfilled the condition of constituting a 'separate unit' and he was thus ineligible. The said order has been passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Rewari in compliance to the directions earlier issued by this Court on 20.12.2016 while disposing of the writ petition (CWP No.26356 of 2016) filed by the petitioner.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. It may not be expedient for this Court to express any opinion on the finding of facts given by the Deputy Commissioner. The appropriate recourse for the petitioner is to file an appeal-cum-representation against the order of the Deputy Commissioner before the higher authorities like the Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon.

The writ petition is thus disposed of with liberty aforementioned.

It shall be appreciated if the Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon decides the petitioner's appeal within a period of four months from the date of its filing.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 06, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No