IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8624 of 2014
Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Sanjay Kumar and Another ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others ...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 6.

***

RAMENDRA JAIN, J.(ORAL)

It is submitted by learned counsel for respondents No. 4 to 6 that the petitioners have already been promoted and as such the present petition has become infructuous.

Since the factum regarding promotion of the petitioners has been mentioned in the office order dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure R-7/1), therefore, this writ petition has become infructuous.

Since none has come forward to pursue the petition, therefore in view of the contention raised by respondent No. 4 to 6 the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

May 26, 2017
Poonam (II)

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether reportable: *Yes/No*

Poonam Sharma
2017.07.03 14:11
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document