Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.03.27 12:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6543 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.3.2017

Krishna Sethi ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the present writ petition has become infructuous, therefore, he wants to withdraw the same.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn as having rendered infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.3.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No