

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27673 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 06, 2017

BAKSHISH SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP. LTD.
AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant pension and others pensionary benefits by regularizing and counting work charge service w.e.f. 21.7.1987 to 14.06.2006, which becomes 19 years, 11 months and 5 days approx. along with regular service w.e.f. 15.06.2006 to 30.04.2017, which becomes 10 years, 10 months and 16 days approx. and total service become 30 years 10 months along with interest @ 12% p.a.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 12.10.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 12.10.2017 (Annexure P-6) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. As far as grant of interest on delayed payment is concerned that shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “*R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318*” as well as Punjab Govt. Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226, dated 10.05.1990.

5. However, if the petitioner still feel aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 06, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*