

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9502 of 2013
Date of Decision: 17.01.2017

Mahinder Pal . . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1) In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the advertisement dated 22.07.2008 (Annexure P-4) inviting applications from various candidates for 870 posts of Gram Sachiv and corrigendum dated 19.07.2010.

2) Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that 3% earmarked quota for the handicapped category has not been notified in respect of 870 posts of Gram Sachivs.

4) On the other hand, respondents have filed their written statement, in which Para 4 reads as under:-

“4. That in the year 2009, Government created 326 new posts of Gram Sachivs and thus the total number of posts were increased from 1911 posts to 2237 posts and accordingly, 56 posts are for handicapped quota as per 3% reservation increased to 67 posts. It is also relevant to

CWP No.9502 of 2013

mention here that including the newly created 326 posts of Gram Sachivs as well due to various reasons i.e. death or retirement. There were 870 posts of Gram Sachivs lying vacant for which department sent the requisition to Haryana Staff Selection Commission for recommendation the names of suitable candidates for the post of Gram Sachivs. Out of 2237 posts, it was found that only 14 posts of Gram Sachivs were lying vacant from handicapped quota. Hence, only 14 posts lying vacant were filled up in the year 2012 out of 870 posts.”

- 5) Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, the petitioner has not made out case to set aside the advertisement dated 22.07.2008 (Annexure P-4) and corrigendum dated 19.07.2010 to the extent that respondents have failed to comply 3% quota for physically handicapped category in notifying to fill up 870 posts of Gram Panchayat.
- 6) Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.
- 7) At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition.
- 8) Permission is granted.
- 9) Dismissed as withdrawn.

[P.B. BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE

17.01.2017
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No