

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27578 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 04, 2017

AMANDEEP SHARMA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release pensionary benefits i.e. gratuity, leave encashment, GIS, arrear of pension and GPF etc. along with interest in terms of statutory rules, govt. instructions and law laid down by full bench judgment of this court in ***“R.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab”, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.***

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 07.07.2017 (Annexure P-3), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to

look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 07.07.2017 (Annexure P-3) and to decide the same, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. As far as grant of interest on delayed payment is concerned that shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “*R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318*” as well as Punjab Govt. Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226, dated 10.05.1990.

5. However, if the petitioner still feel aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

DECEMBER 04, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*