

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.7226 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 27, 2017

M/s Nain Construction Company

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has limited his prayer to the effect that recovery, if any, due from the petitioner should be effected only as per established procedure. According to him, respondents have gone beyond that while addressing a letter to all Superintending Engineers informing them of the pending recovery. Regarding rest of the issues, he submits that he may be allowed to avail alternative remedy.

Learned State counsel submits that the recovery shall be effected only as per law and established procedure.

In view of above, no further direction is necessary. Petition is disposed of. Needless to observe that petitioner shall be at liberty to avail alternative remedy, if any.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

July 27, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No