

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 6334 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 25, 2017

Pritam Kumar,

..... Petitioner

v.

The State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the selection of Hindi Teachers made in 2008. By an advertisement dated 20.7.2016 (Annexure P-2), 405 posts of Hindi Teachers were advertised.

The plea taken in this writ petition is that another CWP No.22410 of 2010, Manju Buttar and another vs The State of Haryana and others, where the same point was involved was allowed by this Court vide judgment/order dated 29.1.2016 (Annexure P-16).

In my opinion, challenge to a selection after six years cannot be sustained merely because some similarly situated candidates like the petitioner had filed a writ petition immediately. There was no bar to the petitioner to have filed a writ petition at that time. Now to allow this writ petition, some persons who were selected and appointed more than seven

years ago would have to be displaced.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not claiming that any person should be displaced. However, I find that in the writ petition there is no averment that any post which was subject to the impugned selection is still vacant. Consequently, finding no merit in this writ petition, the same is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

May 25, 2017
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No