

**135 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27470-2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 21.12.2017

HARBANS SINGH

...PETITIONER

VS

**FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER (APPEAL) PUNJAB
AND OTHERS**

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. R.S.Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

This petition is directed against the orders passed by the Collector dated 15.04.2010, Commissioner dated 30.08.2012 and the Financial Commissioner dated 26.04.2016 by which respondent No.4 has been appointed as Lamberdar in place of Chuhar Singh (since deceased) of Patti Talokha Dulha Parkasha, village Pamal, Tehsil and District Ludhiana. The Collector, Patiala, after taking into consideration the inter se merits of the petitioner and respondent No.4, found the latter more suitable for the post of Lambdardar. Two appeals were preferred against the said order, one by Pritam Singh and the other by the petitioner. Both the appeals were dismissed on 30.08.2012 because no perversity or patent illegality was found in the order. The revision petition was filed by the petitioner alone before the Financial Commissioner which was also dismissed on the ground that the earlier officers have carefully weighed the merits and demerits of both

the candidates and found respondent No.4 to be more suitable. Although learned counsel has vehemently argued that there is an error in the approach of the revenue authorities in appointing respondent No.4 as Lamberdar but he could not make a dent in the concurrent finding recorded on the basis of evidence on record and could not show any kind of perversity for the purpose of interference.

Hence the petition is dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

December 21, 2017

sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No