

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.27317 of 2017.

Date of Decision: December 01, 2017

M/s Pensla Exports India

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.**

**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.J.S.Bedi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

-.-

**Surya Kant, J.** (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Let four copies of the writ petition be handed-over to him during the course of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from the respondents at this stage as no order prejudicial to their interest is being passed.

The short grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is that it is entitled to refund of certain amounts as per the details given in para No.2 of the writ petition, as per Form VAT-29. However, despite repeatedly approaching the authorities, neither the amount has been refunded nor any reason for withholding the same has been conveyed.

In these circumstances, without expressing any views on

merits, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to

verify the claim of the petitioner regarding refund as per Form VAT-29 and take a decision within one months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]  
JUDGE

December 01, 2017  
*mohinder*

[SUDHIR MITTAL]  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |