

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8177-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-06.09.2017.

Parwati Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Bedi, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has sought for a direction to the second respondent to entertain her claim vide Annexure P-5 stated to have been presented on 02.08.2010. Perusal of Annexure P-5, it is not evident that it was presented in the office of the second respondent. No authenticated document has been produced so as to direct the second respondent to entertain the application (Annexure P-5). Hence, petitioner has not made out a case.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

September 06, 2017.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.