

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6022-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2017**

Balbir Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing Federation Ltd. &
another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Ms. Jagdeep Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sudan, Advocate for the respondents.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

The petitioner, who was serving as a Field Officer under the Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing Federation Ltd. had filed the present petition in the year 2016 raising a limited grievance that against an order of punishment passed against him, he had availed of the statutory remedy of appeal and which was pending but no interim orders with regard to recovery had been passed.

During the course of arguments today, it has gone uncontroverted that after filing of the writ petition, appeal preferred by the petitioner has been decided and the matter was remanded back to the Punishing Authority for passing of the order afresh.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner would submit that a view has again been taken by the Punishing Authority to the detriment of the petitioner and against which an appeal has been preferred which is pending before the Appellate Authority.

In view of the facts noticed herein above, nothing would survive in the instant petition against the backdrop of the prayers raised herein.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

22.11.2017

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

(ii) Whether Reportable? Yes/No