

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No. 685 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 03, 2017.

M/s Jia Lal and sons

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. S.S.Panag, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab

Mr.Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Ms.Sonal Datta, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Admittedly, railway bridge has already been constructed.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of disputed questions of fact, he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail alternate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(Rajan Gupta)
Judge

August 03, 2017.

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No