

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27112 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 29, 2017

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), Yamunanagar and another

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.R.S. Longia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have challenged the award dated 20.6.2017 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), Yamunanagar [hereinafter referred to as 'the PLA'] by which the demand raised by them of an amount of ₹4,69,580/- has been set aside while allowing the application filed by respondent No.2 under Section 22(C) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 [for short 'the Act'].

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the demand was raised because the consumer/respondent No.2 has consumed the electricity beyond the sanctioned load i.e. the sanctioned load was 40 KW whereas respondent No.2 has used 65 KW. However, after going through the impugned order much less the finding recorded in para No.18 of thereof, am of the considered opinion that there is no merit in the present case because the sanctioned load had already been extended to 65 KW from 40 KW.

Dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

NOVEMBER 29, 2017

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No