

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27100 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 29, 2017

DIWAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release 2nd ACP and 3rd ACP pending with the respondent along with interest. And further directing the respondents to decide legal notices dated 16.04.2010 (Annexure P-5) and 15.09.2016.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-8) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the aforesaid latest representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to

look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-8) and to decide the same as per Rules and Regulations, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 29, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*