

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8922 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision:24.01.2017

Navpreet Kaur

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union Territory (Chandigarh Administration)
through its Advisor to Administrator,
U.T. Secretariat, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. H.C.Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

C.M.No.780 of 2017

The application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The documents, Annexures P-8 to P-11 are taken on record.

CWP No.8922 of 2013 (O&M)

Initially the dispute appears to be private matter between the petitioner and respondent No.4 being neighbours. Though no doubt that on the basis of the complaint, some FIRs have been registered against the private respondent which are pending investigation, wherein, the charge

sheet had already been filed.

The petitioner seeks probe of holding a high level inquiry with regard to theft of electricity. It has been submitted before this Court that demand raised by the department of electricity has already been paid. If at all, the petitioner has any grievance, she can always put a lock on the electricity meter and can seek remedy under Section 133 Cr.P.C or any other provisions of law but not in this Court. Thus, the writ petition is not maintainable.

Accordingly, the writ petition is hereby dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 24, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No