

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.119

Civil Writ Petition No.26967 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 28, 2017

SUBHASH CHANDER SHARMA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the arrear of salary which is difference of pay drawn & actually entitled to the petitioner for the period during which the petitioner has actually worked on the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer in view of order dated March 24, 2017 (P-7) passed in RA-CW-146 of 2014 in CWP No.15794 of 2013, captioned as ***Ram Kishan Mittal & ors. vs. State of Haryana.***

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated July 17, 2017 (P-9), within a stipulated period, in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.15794 of 2013, captioned as ***Ram Kishan Mittal & ors. vs. State of Haryana*** as well as order dated March 24, 2017 passed in RA-CW-146 of 2014 in CWP No.15794 of 2013.

Civil Writ Petition No.26967 of 2017

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated July 17, 2017 (P-9) and to decide the same in view of aforesaid judgment (P-6) rendered by this Court as well as order dated March 24, 2017 passed in RA-CW-146 of 2014 (P-7), that too, by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 30 days.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 28, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**