

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

226

CWP-6624-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:11.05.2017

Subhash Chander and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Jasbir Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG,Haryana.

None for respondent No.3.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, petitioners have have questioned the validity of Annexure P3 vide which the petitioners' grievance relating to stepping up of pay to that of Sh. Babu Lal has been rejected on the score that Sh.Babu Lal has been extended certain benefits with reference to decision of this court while counting ad hoc service for the purpose of extending the service benefits of Ist ACP. Similar benefits has been declined by the respondents stating that the petitioners are not entitled. Undisputedly, ad hoc services cannot be counted towards extending the benefit of Ist ACP. Thus, the petitioners have not made out a case so as to interfere with Annexure P3.

Hence, CWP stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

11.05.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No