

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26911 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 27, 2017

Ved Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Karnal to Faridabad despite the fact that his son is undergoing treatment for schizophrenia, from Jagadhri, for the past 4 years, as per the prescriptions annexed as Annexures P-2 and P-3 with the petition.

He therefore submits that the petitioners' only request to the respondents is that he may be posted to a place close to Karnal instead of Faridabad, as it would be difficult for him to take his son for regular treatment to Jagadhari from Faridabad.

In respect of his grievance, he is stated to have made a representation to respondent no.3 on 20.11.2017, i.e. the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Karnal Depot; however, he obviously would not be a competent authority for inter district transfers.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the

competent authority amongst the respondents to treat this petition as a representation and take a decision thereon by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Obviously, if the contention of the petitioner that his son is suffering from the aforesaid disease is found to be correct, the representation would be considered and decided sympathetically.

November 27, 2017
vcgarg

(**Amol Rattan Singh**)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No